

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/03/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/989,317	11/20/2001	Xavier Mariaud	00RO27054366	9505	
27975 7	590 07/03/2006		EXAMINER		
ALLEN, DYER, DOPPELT, MILBRATH & GILCHRIST P.A. 1401 CITRUS CENTER 255 SOUTH ORANGE AVENUE			LEE, CHRIS	LEE, CHRISTOPHER E	
	P.O. BOX 3791 ORLANDO, FL 32802-3791		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ORLANDO, F			2112		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/989,317	MARIAUD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christopher E. Lee	2112			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 June 2006.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>					
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 5-21 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 5-21 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2112 RCE[2] Final Office Action

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Receipt Acknowledgement

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the Amendment filed on 15<sup>th</sup> of June 2006. Claims 5, 11, 17, and 20 have been amended; claim 22 has been canceled; and no claim has been newly added since the RCE[2] Non-Final Office Action was mailed on 15<sup>th</sup> of March 2006. Currently, claims 5-21 are pending in this Application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

5

15

20

- 10 (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
  - 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
  - 4. Claims 5-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicants' Admitted Prior Art [hereinafter AAPA] in view of Lee [US 6,256,699 B1].
- 25 Referring to claim 5, AAPA discloses a computer system (See Fig. 1) comprising:
  - a master apparatus (i.e., Master Apparatus A in Fig. 1); and
  - a slave apparatus (i.e., Slave Apparatus B in Fig. 1) for communicating asynchronously
     with said master apparatus (See page 1, lines 10-22) and communicating via a universal

Application/Control Number: 09/989,317 Page 3
Art Unit: 2112 RCE[2] Final Office Action

serial bus (USB) protocol (See page 1, lines 22-25; in fact, USB protocol is an asynchronous bus protocol), said slave apparatus (i.e., said Slave Apparatus B) comprising

- a sending/receiving circuit (i.e., Send/Receive device 24 of Fig. 1) for sending and receiving binary information to and from said master apparatus (See page 1, line 25 through page 2, line 3; i.e., receiving a message from Master Apparatus, and responding acknowledge signal ACK to Master Apparatus) and supplying status signals based thereon (e.g., supplying Setup, CTR and End\_trans signals shown in Fig. 3\*),
- a plurality of state latches (e.g., D-type state latches 32R0, 32R1 or 32T0, 32T1 in Fig. 2; See page 6, line 33 through page 7, line 3) and control circuitry (e.g., Multiplexers 38R0, 38R1 or 38T0, 38T1 in Fig. 2) cooperating therewith for receiving said status signals from said sending/receiving circuit and supplying state signals of said sending/receiving circuit based thereon (See page 7, line 18 through page 8, line 7<sup>†</sup>),
- a microprocessor (i.e., Microcontroller 28 of Fig. 1) for processing applications of said slave apparatus (See page 2, lines 8-13; i.e., wherein in fact that the microcontroller of the slave apparatus has to perform more and more tasks inherently anticipates a processor for processing applications of said slave apparatus) and also for processing said binary information received by said sending/receiving circuit (See page 3, lines 14-26; i.e., wherein in fact that an interruption of the microcontroller to process the part of the transmitted message

5

10

15

20

See the specification pages 2-3, Background of the Invention.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> See the specification page 6, line 33 through page 8, line 7, the Applicants admit the portion as a prior art, i.e., the statement "about the existing system", and the prior responses have not argued with this admittance in the record.

Application/Control Number: 09/989,317 Page 4
Art Unit: 2112 RCE[2] Final Office Action

may be requested inherently anticipates a processor for processing said binary information received by said sending/receiving circuit), and

- an interruption state latch and a control circuit (i.e., means for controlling said flag CTR in Fig. 3(d)) cooperating therewith for supplying an interruption signal (i.e., an interruption when said flag CTR is set to the logic 1 in Fig. 3(d)) to said microprocessor (See page 3, lines 14-20; i.e., wherein in fact that an interruption of said Microcontroller being requested by USB bus anticipates said interruption signal, viz., interruption request when CTR is set to logic 1 in Fig. 3(d), being supplied to said microprocessor).
- AAPA does not teach that said microprocessor processing said binary information received by said sending/receiving circuit when the interruption signal is supplied; the interruption signal being supplied once the start of a new message from said master apparatus has been acknowledged and recorded by said sending/receiving circuit; and said sending/receiving circuit also acknowledging the start of a following message from said master apparatus while the interruption signal is supplied.

5

10

15

20

- a microprocessor (i.e., Host Processor 28 of Fig. 6) processing binary information (i.e., data) received by a sending/receiving circuit (i.e., Bridge with buffering 32 and Host Memory 30 in Fig. 6) when an interruption signal (i.e., processor alerting signal from Mailbox Register 62 to Interrupt Logic 66 in Fig. 6) is supplied (See col. 6, lines 41-51);
- the interruption signal (i.e., said processor alerting signal) being supplied once the start
  of a new message from a master apparatus (i.e., initiating device, e.g., peripheral
  device) has been acknowledged (i.e., acknowledging the completion of transmitting said
  data by said initiating device in Box 74 of Fig. 7) and recorded by said sending/receiving

Art Unit: 2112 RCE[2] Final Office Action

circuit (i.e., stored in target device; in this case, said Host Memory, through said Bridge with buffering in Box 74 of Fig. 7; See col. 5, lines 31-40); and

said sending/receiving circuit (i.e., said Bridge with buffering and Host Memory) also acknowledging the start of a following message from said master apparatus (i.e., said peripheral device) while the interruption signal is supplied (See col. 4, lines 58-61 and col. 5, lines 9-17; i.e., wherein in fact that a system having a buffered transaction bus and data being written first to host memory using a posted write inherently implies said sending/receiving circuit acknowledging the start of a following message from said master apparatus while the interruption signal is supplied).

5

20

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included said reliable interruption reception mechanism, as disclosed by Lee, in said slave apparatus, as disclosed by AAPA, so as to cooperate said reliable interruption reception mechanism with said interruption state latch and said control circuit, for the advantage of assuring that said binary information (i.e., data) is waiting in said sending/receiving circuit (i.e., host memory) before said microprocessor (i.e., host processor) receives said interruption signal (i.e., interrupt request; See Lee, col. 5, line 62 through col. 6, line 3).

Referring to claim 6, AAPA teaches said control circuit (i.e., means for controlling said flag CTR in Fig. 3(d)) for controlling said interruption state latch (See page 3, lines 14-26) comprises

at least one logic circuit (i.e., means for switching/latching signals between "1" and "0" for Setup, CTR and End\_trans signals in Fig. 3) for receiving said status signals (i.e., Setup, CTR and End\_trans signals shown in Fig. 3) from said sending/receiving circuit (i.e., Send/Receive device 24 of Fig. 1) and setting said interruption state latch (i.e., the

Art Unit: 2112

5

10

15

20

RCE[2] Final Office Action

Page 6

flag CTR in Fig. 3(d)) to a predetermined logic level (i.e., the logic 1 state) to indicate a

microprocessor interruption request (See page 3, lines 14-18).

Referring to claim 7, AAPA teaches

said control circuitry (i.e., Multiplexers 38R0, 38R1 or 38T0, 38T1 in Fig. 2) for

controlling said state latches (i.e., D-type state latches 32R0, 32R1 or 32T0, 32T1 in Fig.

2; See page 6, line 33 through page 7, line 3) prevents said binary information from said

sending/receiving circuit (i.e., message from Master Apparatus via Send/Receive device;

See page 1, line 25 through page 2, line 3) from being written into said plurality of state

latches during receipt of the start of said new message and during the presence of said

interruption signal (See phases 12 and 14 in Fig. 3, and page 3, lines 14-29; i.e.,

wherein in fact that no transfer over the USB bus is authorized during CTR being set to

'1' inherently anticipates said control circuitry prevents the binary information from being

written into said plurality of state latches during receipt of the start of said new message

and during the presence of said interruption signal).

Referring to claim 8, AAPA teaches

said master apparatus (i.e., Master Apparatus A in Fig. 1) comprises a central

processing unit (i.e., Microcontroller 26 of Fig. 1).

Referring to claim 9, AAPA teaches

said slave apparatus (i.e., Slave Apparatus B in Fig. 1) comprises computer peripheral

device (See page 1, line 3-5).

Art Unit: 2112

RCE[2] Final Office Action

Page 7

Referring to claim 10, AAPA teaches

 a cable (i.e., cable 20 of Fig. 1) connecting said master apparatus and said slave apparatus (See page 1, lines 10-19).

Referring to claim 11, AAPA discloses a computer system (See Fig. 1) comprising:

- a master apparatus (i.e., Master Apparatus A in Fig. 1); and
- a slave apparatus (i.e., Slave Apparatus B in Fig. 1) for communicating with said master apparatus (See page 1, lines 10-25; in fact, USB protocol is an asynchronous bus protocol) and comprising

10

5

a sending/receiving circuit (i.e., Send/Receive device 24 of Fig. 1) for sending and receiving binary information to and from said master apparatus (See page 1, line 25 through page 2, line 3; i.e., receiving a message from Master Apparatus, and responding acknowledge signal ACK to Master Apparatus) and supplying status signals based thereon (e.g., supplying Setup, CTR and End\_trans signals shown in Fig. 3),

15

a plurality of state latches (e.g., D-type state latches 32R0, 32R1 or 32T0, 32T1 in Fig. 2; See page 6, line 33 through page 7, line 3) and control circuitry (e.g., Multiplexers 38R0, 38R1 or 38T0, 38T1 in Fig. 2) cooperating therewith for receiving said status signals from said sending/receiving circuit and supplying state signals of said sending/receiving circuit based thereon (See page 7, line 18 through page 8, line 7),

20

a microprocessor (i.e., Microcontroller 28 of Fig. 1) for processing applications of said slave apparatus (See page 2, lines 8-13; i.e., wherein in fact that the microcontroller of the slave apparatus has to perform more and more tasks

Application/Control Number: 09/989,317 Page 8
Art Unit: 2112 RCE[2] Final Office Action

5

10

15

20

inherently anticipates a processor for processing applications of said slave apparatus) and also for processing said binary information received by said sending/receiving circuit (See page 3, lines 14-26; i.e., wherein in fact that an interruption of the microcontroller to process the part of the transmitted message may be requested inherently anticipates a processor for processing said binary information received by said sending/receiving circuit),

- an interruption state latch (i.e., a flag CTR in Fig. 3(d)) for supplying an interruption signal (i.e., an interruption when CTR is set to the logic 1 in Fig. 3(d)) to said microprocessor (See page 3, lines 14-20; i.e., wherein in fact that an interruption of said Microcontroller being requested by USB bus clearly anticipates said interruption signal, viz., interruption request when CTR is set to the logic 1 in Fig. 3(d), being supplied to said microprocessor), and
  - said control circuitry (i.e., Multiplexers 38R0, 38R1 or 38T0, 38T1 in Fig. 2) for controlling said state latches (i.e., D-type state latches 32R0, 32R1 or 32T0, 32T1 in Fig. 2; See page 6, line 33 through page 7, line 3) preventing said binary information from said sending/receiving circuit (i.e., message from Master Apparatus via Send/Receive device; See page 1, line 25 through page 2, line 3) from being written into said plurality of state latches during receipt of the start of a new message from said master apparatus (i.e., message from said Master Apparatus) and during the presence of said interruption signal (See phases 12 and 14 in Fig. 3, and page 3, lines 14-29; i.e., wherein in fact that no transfer over the USB bus is authorized during CTR being set to the logic 1 inherently anticipates said control circuitry prevents the binary information from being written into said plurality of state latches during receipt of said start of said new

5

10

15

20

Page 9

RCE[2] Final Office Action

message from said master apparatus and during the presence of said interruption signal).

AAPA does not teach that said microprocessor processing said binary information received by said sending/receiving circuit when the interruption signal is supplied; the interruption signal being supplied once the start of a new message from said master apparatus has been acknowledged and recorded by said sending/receiving circuit; and said sending/receiving circuit also acknowledging the start of a following message from said master apparatus while the interruption signal is supplied.

- a microprocessor (i.e., Host Processor 28 of Fig. 6) processing binary information (i.e., data) received by a sending/receiving circuit (i.e., Bridge with buffering 32 and Host Memory 30 in Fig. 6) when an interruption signal (i.e., processor alerting signal from Mailbox Register 62 to Interrupt Logic 66 in Fig. 6) is supplied (See col. 6, lines 41-51);
- the interruption signal (i.e., said processor alerting signal) being supplied once the start of a new message from a master apparatus (i.e., initiating device, e.g., peripheral device) has been acknowledged (i.e., acknowledging the completion of transmitting said data by initiating device in Box 74 of Fig. 7) and recorded by said sending/receiving circuit (i.e., stored in target device, i.e., said Host Memory, through said Bridge with buffering in Box 74 of Fig. 7; See col. 5, lines 31-40); and
- said sending/receiving circuit (i.e., said Bridge with buffering and Host Memory) also acknowledging the start of a following message from said master apparatus (i.e., said peripheral device) while the interruption signal is supplied (See col. 4, lines 58-61 and col. 5, lines 9-17; i.e., wherein in fact that a system having a buffered transaction bus and data being written first to host memory using a posted write inherently implies said

RCE[2] Final Office Action

sending/receiving circuit acknowledging the start of a following message from said master apparatus while the interruption signal is supplied).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included said reliable interruption reception mechanism, as disclosed by Lee, in said slave apparatus, as disclosed by AAPA, so as to cooperate said reliable interruption reception mechanism with said interruption state latch and said control circuit, for the advantage of assuring that said binary information (i.e., data) is waiting in said sending/receiving circuit (i.e., host memory) before said microprocessor (i.e., host processor) receives said interruption signal (i.e., interrupt request; See Lee, col. 5, line 62 through col. 6, line 3).

10

15

20

5

Referring to claim 12, AAPA teaches

said master apparatus and said slave apparatus communicate via a universal serial bus (USB) protocol (See page 1, lines 22-25).

Referring to claim 13, AAPA teaches

at least one logic circuit (i.e., means for switching/latching signals between "1" and "0" for Setup, CTR and End\_trans signals in Fig. 3) for receiving said status signals (i.e., Setup, CTR and End\_trans signals shown in Fig. 3) from said sending/receiving circuit (i.e., Send/Receive device 24 of Fig. 1) and setting said interruption state latch (i.e., the flag CTR in Fig. 3(d)) to a predetermined logic level (i.e., the logic 1 state) to indicate a microprocessor interruption request (See page 3, lines 14-18).

Referring to claim 14, AAPA teaches

Art Unit: 2112 RCE[2] Final Office Action

Page 11

 said master apparatus (i.e., Master Apparatus A in Fig. 1) comprises a central processing unit (i.e., Microcontroller 26 of Fig. 1).

Referring to claim 15, AAPA teaches

5

10

15

20

 said slave apparatus (i.e., Slave Apparatus B in Fig. 1) comprises computer peripheral device (See page 1, line 3-5).

Referring to claim 16, AAPA teaches

 a cable (i.e., cable 20 of Fig. 1) connecting said master apparatus and said slave apparatus (See page 1, lines 10-19).

Referring to claim 17, AAPA discloses a slave apparatus (i.e., Slave Apparatus B in Fig. 1) for communicating asynchronously with a master apparatus (i.e., Master Apparatus A in Fig. 1; See page 1, lines 10-22) via a universal serial bus (USB) protocol (See page 1, lines 10-25; in fact, USB protocol is an asynchronous bus protocol), said slave apparatus comprising:

- a sending/receiving circuit (i.e., Send/Receive device 24 of Fig. 1) for sending and receiving binary information to and from said master apparatus (See page 1, line 25 through page 2, line 3; i.e., receiving a message from Master Apparatus, and responding acknowledge signal ACK to Master Apparatus) and supplying status signals based thereon (e.g., supplying Setup, CTR and End\_trans signals shown in Fig. 3);
- a plurality of state latches (e.g., D-type state latches 32R0, 32R1 or 32T0, 32T1 in Fig. 2;
   See page 6, line 33 through page 7, line 3) and control circuitry (e.g., Multiplexers 38R0, 38R1 or 38T0, 38T1 in Fig. 2) cooperating therewith for receiving said status signals

Application/Control Number: 09/989,317 Page 12
Art Unit: 2112 RCE[2] Final Office Action

from said sending/receiving circuit and supplying state signals of said sending/receiving circuit based thereon (See page 7, line 18 through page 8, line 7);

• a microprocessor (i.e., Microcontroller 28 of Fig. 1) for processing applications of said slave apparatus (See page 2, lines 8-13; i.e., wherein in fact that the microcontroller of the slave apparatus has to perform more and more tasks inherently anticipates a processor for processing applications of said slave apparatus) and also for processing said binary information received by said sending/receiving circuit (See page 3, lines 14-26; i.e., wherein in fact that an interruption of the microcontroller to process the part of the transmitted message may be requested inherently anticipates a processor for processing said binary information received by said sending/receiving circuit); and

5

10

15

20

• an interruption state latch (i.e., a flag CTR in Fig. 3(d)) and a control circuit (i.e., means for controlling said flag CTR in Fig. 3(d)) cooperating therewith for supplying an interruption signal (i.e., an interruption when CTR is set to '1' in Fig. 3(d)) to said microprocessor (See page 3, lines 14-20; i.e., wherein in fact that an interruption of said Microcontroller being requested by USB bus clearly anticipates said interruption signal, viz., interruption request when CTR is set to '1' in Fig. 3(d), being supplied to said microprocessor) once the end of a message has been acknowledged and for supplying the transfer interruption signal to said microprocessor (i.e., said flag CTR is the logic 1; See page 3, lines 14-20) once the start of a new message from said master apparatus (i.e., message from said Master Apparatus; e.g., message including SETUP and DATA in the phase 10 of Fig. 3(a)) has been acknowledged and recorded by said sending/receiving circuit (i.e., said CTR being set to the logic 1 after ACK in the phase 10 of Fig. 3(a); See page 3, lines 14-20; i.e., said interruption is supplied for processing the part of the transmitted message).

Art Unit: 2112 RCE[2] Final Office Action

AAPA does not teach that said microprocessor processing said binary information received by said sending/receiving circuit when the interruption signal is supplied; the interruption signal being supplied once the start of a new message from said master apparatus has been acknowledged and recorded by said sending/receiving circuit; and said sending/receiving circuit also acknowledging the start of a following message from said master apparatus while the interruption signal is supplied.

5

10

15

20

- a microprocessor (i.e., Host Processor 28 of Fig. 6) processing binary information (i.e., data) received by a sending/receiving circuit (i.e., Bridge with buffering 32 and Host Memory 30 in Fig. 6) when an interruption signal (i.e., processor alerting signal from Mailbox Register 62 to Interrupt Logic 66 in Fig. 6) is supplied (See col. 6, lines 41-51);
- the interruption signal (i.e., said processor alerting signal) being supplied once the start of a new message from a master apparatus (i.e., initiating device, e.g., peripheral device) has been acknowledged (i.e., acknowledging the completion of transmitting said data by initiating device in Box 74 of Fig. 7) and recorded by said sending/receiving circuit (i.e., stored in target device, i.e., said Host Memory, through said Bridge with buffering in Box 74 of Fig. 7; See col. 5, lines 31-40); and
- said sending/receiving circuit (i.e., said Bridge with buffering and Host Memory) also acknowledging the start of a following message from said master apparatus (i.e., said peripheral device) while the interruption signal is supplied (See col. 4, lines 58-61 and col. 5, lines 9-17; i.e., wherein in fact that a system having a buffered transaction bus and data being written first to host memory using a posted write inherently implies said sending/receiving circuit acknowledging the start of a following message from said master apparatus while the interruption signal is supplied).

Art Unit: 2112

5

15

20

RCE[2] Final Office Action

Page 14

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included said reliable interruption reception mechanism, as disclosed by Lee, in said slave apparatus, as disclosed by AAPA, so as to cooperate said reliable interruption reception mechanism with said interruption state latch and said control circuit, for the advantage of assuring that said binary information (i.e., data) is waiting in said sending/receiving circuit (i.e., host memory) before said microprocessor (i.e., host processor) receives said interruption signal (i.e., interrupt request; See Lee, col. 5, line 62 through col. 6, line 3).

Referring to claim 18, AAPA teaches said control circuit (i.e., means for controlling said flag CTR in Fig. 3(d)) for controlling said interruption state latch (See page 3, lines 14-26) comprises

at least one logic circuit (i.e., means for switching/latching signals between "1" and "0" for Setup, CTR and End\_trans signals in Fig. 3) for receiving said status signals (i.e., Setup, CTR and End\_trans signals shown in Fig. 3) from said sending/receiving circuit (i.e., Send/Receive device 24 of Fig. 1) and setting said interruption state latch (i.e., the flag CTR in Fig. 3(d)) to a predetermined logic level (i.e., the logic '1' state) to indicate a microprocessor interruption request (See page 3, lines 14-18).

Referring to claim 19, AAPA teaches

said control circuitry (i.e., Multiplexers 38R0, 38R1 or 38T0, 38T1 in Fig. 2) for controlling said state latches (i.e., D-type state latches 32R0, 32R1 or 32T0, 32T1 in Fig. 2; See page 6, line 33 through page 7, line 3) prevents said binary information from said sending/receiving circuit (i.e., message from Master Apparatus via Send/Receive device; See page 1, line 25 through page 2, line 3) from being written into said plurality of state

Application/Control Number: 09/989,317 Page 15
Art Unit: 2112 RCE[2] Final Office Action

latches during receipt of the start of said new message and during the presence of said interruption signal (See phases 12 and 14 in Fig. 3, and page 3, lines 14-29; i.e., wherein in fact that no transfer over the USB bus is authorized during CTR being set to '1' inherently anticipates said control circuitry prevents the binary information from being written into said plurality of state latches during receipt of the start of said new message and during the presence of said interruption signal).

Referring to claim 20, AAPA discloses a method of processing interruptions (See page 3, lines 14-26) in a slave apparatus (i.e., Slave Apparatus B in Fig. 1) communicating asynchronously with a master apparatus (i.e., Master Apparatus A in Fig. 1) via a universal serial bus (USB) protocol (See page 1, lines 10-25; in fact, USB protocol is an asynchronous bus protocol), said method comprising:

5

10

15

20

- sending and receiving binary information to and from said master apparatus via a
  sending/receiving circuit (i.e., Send/Receive device 24 of Fig. 1; See page 1, line 25
  through page 2, line 3; i.e., receiving a message from Master Apparatus, and responding
  acknowledge signal ACK to Master Apparatus) and supplying status signals based
  thereon (e.g., supplying Setup, CTR and End\_trans signals shown in Fig. 3);
- generating state signals of said sending/receiving circuit based upon said status signals
   (See page 7, line 18 through page 8, line 7);
- processing applications of said slave apparatus (i.e., SW Process 'main routine' in Fig.
   3(e)) and also processing said binary information received by said sending/receiving circuit (See page 3, lines 24-26); and
- supplying an interruption signal (i.e., CTR being set to '1' in Fig. 3(d)) to a
   microprocessor of said slave apparatus (i.e., Microcontroller 28 of said Slave Apparatus

Application/Control Number: 09/989,317 Page 16
Art Unit: 2112 RCE[2] Final Office Action

B in Fig. 1; See page 3, lines 14-20, wherein in fact that an interruption of said Microcontroller being requested by USB bus clearly anticipates said interruption signal, viz., interruption request when CTR is set to '1' in Fig. 3(d), being supplied to said microprocessor).

AAPA does not teach that said processing said binary information is performed when the interruption signal is supplied; said supplying said interruption signal is performed once the start of a new message from said master apparatus has been acknowledged and recorded by said sending/receiving circuit; and sending an acknowledgment from the sending/receiving circuit to the master apparatus acknowledging the start of a following message from said master apparatus while the interruption signal is supplied.

5

10

15

20

- processing binary information (i.e., data) received by a sending/receiving circuit (i.e.,
   Bridge with buffering 32 and Host Memory 30 in Fig. 6) when an interruption signal (i.e.,
   processor alerting signal from Mailbox Register 62 to Interrupt Logic 66 in Fig. 6) is
   supplied (See col. 6, lines 41-51);
- supplying the interruption signal (i.e., said processor alerting signal) once the start of a new message from a master apparatus (i.e., initiating device, e.g., peripheral device) has been acknowledged (i.e., acknowledging the completion of transmitting said data by said initiating device in Box 74 of Fig. 7) and recorded by said sending/receiving circuit (i.e., stored in target device, i.e., said Host Memory, through said Bridge with buffering in Box 74 of Fig. 7; See col. 5, lines 31-40); and
- sending an acknowledgment from the sending/receiving circuit (i.e., responding to the
  interrupt by host process via mail box register, in fact, via said Bridge with buffering) to
  the master apparatus (i.e., to the initiator; See col. 5, lines 53-55) acknowledging the

Art Unit: 2112 RCE[2] Final Office Action

start of a following message from said master apparatus (i.e., said peripheral device) while the interruption signal is supplied (See col. 4, lines 58-61 and col. 5, lines 9-17; i.e., wherein in fact that a system having a buffered transaction bus, responding to the interrupt by host process via mail box register, and data being written first to host memory using a posted write, inherently implies said sending/receiving circuit acknowledging the start of a following message from said master apparatus while the interruption signal is supplied).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included said reliable interruption reception mechanism, as disclosed by Lee, in said method of processing interruptions in said slave apparatus, as disclosed by AAPA, so as to cooperate said reliable interruption reception mechanism with said method of processing interruptions in said slave apparatus, for the advantage of assuring that said binary information (i.e., data) is waiting in said sending/receiving circuit (i.e., host memory) before said microprocessor (i.e., host processor) receives said interruption signal (i.e., interrupt request; See Lee, col. 5, line 62 through col. 6, line 3).

#### Referring to claim 21, AAPA teaches

5

10

15

20

• supplying said interruption signal comprises setting an interruption state latch (i.e., the flag CTR in Fig. 3(d)) to a predetermined logic level (i.e., the logic '1' state) based upon said status signals (i.e., Setup, CTR and End\_trans signals shown in Fig. 3) to indicate a microprocessor interruption request (See page 3, lines 14-18).

5

10

15

20

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 15<sup>th</sup> of June 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to the Applicants' argument with respect to "... The above-noted independent claims have been amended to recite that the master and slave apparatuses communicate asynchronously via a universal serial bus (USB) protocol, and that the new messages and following message acknowledged by the slave device are from the master apparatus. To the contrary, Lee teaches implementing a synchronized data transfer approach from a peripheral (i.e., slave) device to a host (i.e., master) device. ..." in the Response page 13, line 7 through page 14, line 5, the Examiner believes that the Applicants misinterpret the claim rejection.

The Applicants essentially argue that Lee doesn't teach the above argued elements, i.e., the master and slave apparatuses communicate asynchronously. However, the primary reference AAPA clearly teaches a master apparatus (i.e., Master Apparatus A in Fig. 1); and a slave apparatus (i.e., Slave Apparatus B in Fig. 1) for communicating asynchronously with said master apparatus (See AAPA, page 1, lines 10-22) and communicating via a universal serial bus (USB) protocol (See AAPA, page 1, lines 22-25; in fact, USB protocol is an asynchronous bus protocol).

Thus, the Applicants' argument on this point is not persuasive.

In response to the Applicants' argument with respect to "Moreover, as the Examiner notes in the Office Action, the acknowledgement of the interruption signal and data buffering operations discussed in Lee are performed by the host, i.e., the master apparatus. In stark contrast, the above-noted independent claims recite that the new and following messages are

Art Unit: 2112

Page 19

RCE[2] Final Office Action

from the master apparatus, and they are acknowledged by the slave apparatus." in the

Response page 13, line 7 through page 14, line 5, the Examiner respectfully disagrees.

As the Applicants states in the Response, the Examiner acknowledges the interruption signal and data buffering operations discussed in Lee are performed by the host. However, in contrary to the Applicants statement, the Examiner has never admitted that the subject matter "host" in Lee is the master apparatus. Instead, the Examiner clearly states the subject matter "host" in Lee is a target, and the claimed subject matter "master apparatus" is mapped to the subject matter "initiator, e.g., peripheral device" of Lee. See page 4, lines 22-23, page 5, lines 4-5, and page 16, line 24 in the instant Office Action, and page 6, lines 11-13 and page 10, lines 17-21 of the RCE[2] Non-Final Office Action mailed on 15<sup>th</sup> of March 2006.

Therefore, Lee clearly suggests the interruption signal and data buffering operations discussed in Lee are performed by the host, which is not a master apparatus (i.e., Initiator), but a slave apparatus (i.e., Target). See Lee, col. 1, lines 41-54 and col. 4, lines 23-27.

Thus, the Applicants' argument on this point is not persuasive.

15

20

10

5

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 2112

5

10

15

RCE[2] Final Office Action

Page 20

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher E. Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-3637. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rehana Perveen can be reached on 571-272-3676. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher E. Lee Patent Examiner Art Unit 2112

Chorbopher E. Lie

20 CEL/